

1
2
3 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
4 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

5
6 SARA HAYDEE RAMIREZ,
7 Plaintiff,
8
9 v.
10 ABHINESH KUMAR, et al.,
11 Defendants.

Case No.: 2:23-cv-00152-CDS-NJK

Order

[Docket No. 32]

11 On December 8, 2023, Defendant Abhinesh Kumar filed a notice of independent medical
12 examination of Plaintiff. Docket No. 30. On the same day, the Court struck that document.
13 Docket No. 31. The Court stated that, “[d]iscovery-related documents must be served on the
14 affected party, not filed on the docket, unless ordered by the Court. Local Rule 26-7; Fed. R. Civ.
15 P. 5(d)(1)(A). No such order has been entered in this case.” *Id.* The Court further instructed the
16 parties to “refrain from filing discovery documents on the docket in the future absent a Court order
17 that they do so.” *Id.*

18 Despite the Court’s prior order, Defendant has now filed an amended notice of independent
19 medical examination of Plaintiff. Docket No. 32. For the reasons already stated, the Court
20 **STRIKES** that document. The Court **ORDERS** Defendant to refrain from filing discovery
21 documents on the docket in the future absent a Court order that they do so. Failure to comply with
22 this order may result in sanctions.

23 IT IS SO ORDERED.

24 Dated: December 12, 2023.

25 
26 NANCY J. KOPPE
27 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
28